

Our next domain is Standard C: Professional Learning and Growth

Standard A: Instructional Leadership for Continuous Improvement	Standard B: Culture for Teaching and Learning
An ethical and effective instructional eader <u>facilitates professional practice</u> that continually improves student learning.	An ethical and effective instructional leader collaborates with stakeholders to <u>create and sustain an inclusive,</u> <u>respectful and safe environment</u> conducive to learning and growth for all.
Standard C:	Standard D:
Professional Learning and Growth	Resource Management
An ethical and effective instructional	An ethical and effective instructional
leader develops capacity of all	leader facilitates the <u>development of a</u>
educators by <u>designing</u> , <u>facilitating</u> , <u>and</u>	<u>highly effective learning community</u>
<u>participating in collaborative learning</u>	through processes that enlist diverse
<u>informed by multiple sources of data</u> .	stakeholders and resources.

Standard C focuses on professional learning and growth and supports an ethical and effective instructional leader that develops the capacity of all educators by designing, facilitating, and participating in collaborative learning informed by multiple sources of data.



Professional learning and growth includes evaluation, differentiated professional learning, induction, support, retention and growth, teacher leaders, and self-practice.

Evidence Collection for Standard C



video 7 here:





Now it is time for you to differentiate performance levels for the indicators in Standard C: Professional Learning and Growth. Access the worksheet provided for this training.

Defining	g Perfor	mance I	Levels	
Indicator	5 Significantly Above Expectations	3 Meeting Expectations	1 Significantly Below Expectations	Possible Evidence Sources
C1. Evaluation				
C2. Differentiated Professional Learning				
C3. Induction, Support, Retention, & Growth				
C4. Teacher Leaders				
C5. Self- Practice				

Read the standard and the description indicator in the following slides. Read and then consider the meaning of the indicator in its entirety at the performance level 3. Differentiate between the performance levels identifying unique elements in each of the other performance levels. Then document your responses on the chart provided.

TEAM	"Highly effectiv leading pro [They] pr —A	e principals work explicitly to impo ofessional development sessions, rovide ways for teachers to continu	: Professional Le ove instruction in the classroom leading data-driven instruction to uously grow in their careers. [Hig rom one another, and they deleg	arning & Growth in the form of conducting observe arms and insisting on high expect hy effective principals) arrange of ate leadership roles."	tions and giving feedback, ations for all students. oportunities for staff <i>Teachers</i> , 2012	
	Indicator	5	3	1	Possible Sources of Evidence	
N Department of	C1. Evaluation Implements and monitors a rigorous evaluation system using an approved Tennessee evaluation model and uses educator evaluation data to inform, assess, and adjust professional learning goals and plans	In addition to Level 3 descriptors: Builds and statins a culture focused on continuous improvement. Such that educators view the evaluation process as an learning and growth Holds self and others accountable for customizing supports for educators Creates a school-wide plan for professional learning aligned to the school's vision for professional learning and growth Accurately modifies school er goals and plans	Encourages educators to use the evaluation process for professional learning and growth Athrenes to all evaluation processes, where the evaluation processes, the evaluation processes, the evaluation of the evaluation of the evaluation of the evaluation of the evaluation of the evaluation of the evaluation of the evaluation process includes: a gathering evaluation actions related to teaching and learning and scoring to the nubric with accuracy to ensure fideling of the process outling periodicate teaching and scoring to the nubric with accuracy to ensure fideling of the process outling appropriate to evaluate teaching of the process of a using a perponderance of evidence to evaluate teaching of the process of the process of the process of the accuracy to ensure fideling of the process of the accuracy to actionable feedback recommendations connected to improvement of acciliance active mements and accuracy determine trends and access educator strengths and growth opportunities	Shoss limited or no use of: Encouragement for educators to use the evaluation process for professional learning and growth Arenerative to all evaluation of the evaluation of	Practice/Observation records, which may include, but are not limited to: a bucknets cooling and nating bucknets cooling and nating bucknets cooling and nating bucknets Educator refinement follow-up notes: Cobservation of school leader engaged in any portion of the observation process, specifically providing actionable feedback to improve practice Educator and the school leader specification of the school leader providing actionable feedback to improve practice Educators and school leader specification of the school leader processes Review of dosenvation data analysis and related action plan related action plan with attention to noted plan algustments Educator's professional growth and learning/improvement plans related to observation data Compliance reports Educators Limproved teacher support improved teacher support TVAAS	

Differentiate the performance levels of evaluation. List additional or more specific possible sources of evidence.

This section of the rubric differs from standard 1A, our model, in that the indicators here build upon one another vs. being stand alone descriptions of practice. Notice the language of the rubric includes "in addition to" as the stem of each performance level.

	3. Di	fferenti		ofessiona n, and G	al Learnii rowth	ng,
10000	Indicator	·	3	-	Possible Sources of Evidence	
Pro Lea self diffi prot opp edu with Star	Differentiated ofessional string pages faculty and fin data-informed, forentiated versaita	Ensures al portessional terming activities align with the Tennesse Standards for Professional Learning (Engages kaders) team to: differentiate professional learning opportunities based on defaulties and preferences however and suiting preferences to advert another and suiting pre- therein and a deductors see to ahare knowledge gained from learning opportunities	Inopage staff in activities adjend with the Floresce Standed 3(b) Professional Learning opportunities based on initivitiaal dockator needs observations of the standard standard dockator needs actuations for activities holds educators accountable for involvedge and skills geneef from professional learning activities	Randy on never differentiales: professional learning for faculty and sett by: Engaging in activities aligned with the Tennesse Standorth for Theylasional Learning Defensional Learning and the tennesse Standorth for Theylasional Learning and the tennesse standorth for implementing by modeling and standorth and the tenness activities and from professional learning activities	Practice/Observation Leader's aff-fefection Leader's participation in professional development of rainings within the state and/or district Leader's attendance at national professional development of portfolia artificts aligned with core leadership competencies Data displays reliede to professional learning and deservation data support Individual devicants professional learning plans to gauge differentiated support Conversations with educators about professional learning and growth Dutcomet	
	Induction.	Engages with leadership team to:	Designs and implements an	Rarely or never inducts, supports,	Student performance data results in relationship to the school-wide professional learning plan TVAAS Practice/Observation	
Sup & G Coll oth sup grov edu evid and	Induction, pport, Retention, frowth llaborates with hers to induct, sport, retain and w/extend effective ucators based on dence of student d educator comes	Design and implements an inductors program for new educators Develop strategies for retaining high-performing educators Develop strategies for fostering leadership skulter i and educator enderned student and educator subden de ducators based on evidence di student and educator Support the development of nearly all teachers utilizing a variety of methods	 Induction program for two an educators Develops strategies for: recaling high-performing educators focting leadership skills in the most effective educators fostering leadership skills in suiting a variety of methods to support the development of all teachers 	retains, and grows educators by designing and implementing an induction program for new educators Develops strategies for: o retaining high performing educators o fostering ladership skills in the most effective educators utilizing a variety of methods to support the development of most teachers	Data regarding induction, support, retention, and growth, which may include: 5 surveys: 6 sturveys: 1 student and stakeholder feedback 0 student and stakeholder feedback 0 Dutcome • Quality of induction program • Quality of induction program • ductators: • Increased retention rates	

Differentiate the performance levels in Differentiated Professional Learning, Induction, Support, Retention, and Growth. List additional or more specified possible sources of evidence. Notice again, the performance levels build upon one another.

and C5.	Teacher	Leade	rs and S	Self-Practi	ice
Indicator		3		Possible Sources of Evidence	
C4. Teacher Leoders Identifies and supports potent teacher-Haders spoportunities in alignment with d Tennessee Teache Leodership Stend	nd Communicate a clear feadership pathway for potential teacher- leaders Provide sufficient growth opportunities to address specific leadership across and behaviors	Engage: in activities aligned with the Invoice Tucket Laderbind Encoder Laderbind Uses a vuriety of effectiveness data Communicates active laderbind pathway Communicates active laders specific leaderbing actions and behaviors Provides porentil reacher-leaders with avand basething Nonicots tables the support later specific leaderbind reacher leaderbind specific leaderbind Monicots tables, to support their continued development.	Randy or never identifies and supports portent inscher leaders by upports portent inscher leaders by the Foresser Forder Leadership Standard - Using effectiveness data - Using effectiveness data - Developing specific leadership actions and behaviors - Providing tabaher leadership actions and behaviors - Providing tabaher leadership actions and behaviors - Providing tabaher leadership actions and behaviors - Vandership and providing specific leadership and providing specific leadership to support their continued developments	Practic-Observation Teacher-Jodeprin in algoment with the <i>Tenessee Teacher</i> (Conversations with balanchip) team about design and implementation of the teacher leader plan Lius of selected teacher leaders and their ausdent achievement and growth data growth data contegging and with their contegging and with their contegging and with their contegging and with teacher and other teachers Ductomes (realization score increases) (realization score increases) TYAVAS	
C5. 5elf-Precise Improves self- practices based multiple sources feedback, includ performance evaluation result and self-reflectio	Actively seeks feedback from a variety of sources to reflect on personal instructional leadership practices and makes any necessary of changes for improvement connects personal leadership practices to student achievement and ducator performance by	Uses freedback from a worksp of sources to refer on personal instructional feedership paratices and make any necessary thanges for improvement aligned to student, educator, and saffreed to student, educator, and standards Implements, new, relevant learning from feedback and professional learning opportunities with exidence of improvement.	Eardy or never improves self-practice by: - Using feedback from sources to reflect on personal instructional lead-endup practices and does not improvement. - Engaging in professional learning: - adjudt or sources, and - engaging in professional learning: - adjudt or sources, and - adjudt or sources, and - engaging in professional learning opportunities - Implementing new, releast professional learning opportunities	Terditic Observation Lador's self-refersion Lador's pre-independence Lador's pre-independence Lador's self-refersion Lador's self-refersion growth Lador's self-refersion L	

Differentiate the performance levels in Teacher Leaders and Self-Practice. Correlate the differentiation with the notes from the video. List additional or more specified possible sources of evidence. Consider how these two impact the functionality of a school.