


BESTALL

We will set all students on a path to success.

TEAM Administrator Evaluator Training Module 3







Agenda

- Overview of TEAM
 - State Policy
 - Value of Evaluation Data
 - Theory of Action
- Generating a LOE
- Observation Cycle
- Deadlines
- TILS (the Tennessee Instructional Leadership Standards), & TEAM Administrator Observation Rubric
- Feedback
- Resources and Logistics



Understanding the Standards (TILS)





The Tennessee Instructional Leadership

Standard A: Instructional Leadership for Continuous Improvement

An ethical and effective instructional leader <u>facilitates professional practice</u> that continually improves student learning.

Standard C: Professional Learning and Growth

An ethical and effective instructional leader develops capacity of all educators by designing, facilitating, and participating in collaborative learning informed by multiple sources of data.

Standard B: Culture for Teaching and Learning

An ethical and effective instructional leader collaborates with stakeholders to create and sustain an inclusive, respectful and safe environment conducive to learning and growth for all.

Standard D: Resource Management

An ethical and effective instructional leader facilitates the <u>development of a highly effective learning community</u> through processes that enlist diverse stakeholders and resources.



Understanding the TEAM Administrator Rubric





TEAM Administrator Rubric

- Aligns to Tennessee Instructional Leadership Standards (TILS)
- Outlines skills, knowledge, and responsibilities that successful leaders should master
- Provides 5 performance levels that allow for growth in practice over time
- Allows for reflective dialogue among and between peers and evaluators to improve practice



TEAM Administrator Rubric



The TEAM administrator rubric is designed to:


- guide a fair and transparent administrator evaluation;
- establish a culture of support for instructional leaders;
- encourage reflective dialogue and to improve leader practice;
- support school leaders by acknowledging a leader's effective practices and results; and
- support a leader's opportunities for improvement by offering guidance on professional growth and learning.



TEAM Administrator Rubric

The Administrator Evaluation Rubric is not:

- a checklist,
- inclusive of all salient aspects of a school leader's role, or
- meant to address areas of performance related to personal conduct as described in district and state policies.





Standard A: Instructional Leadership for Continuous Improvement





The Tennessee Instructional Leadership Standards

Standard A:

Instructional Leadership for Continuous Improvement

An ethical and effective instructional leader <u>facilitates professional practice</u> that continually improves student learning.

Standard C: Professional Learning and Growth

An ethical and effective instructional leader develops capacity of all educators by designing, facilitating, and participating in collaborative learning informed by multiple sources of data.

Standard B: Culture for Teaching and Learning

An ethical and effective instructional leader collaborates with stakeholders to create and sustain an inclusive, respectful and safe environment conducive to learning and growth for all.

Standard D: Resource Management

An ethical and effective instructional leader facilitates the <u>development of a highly effective learning community</u> through processes that enlist diverse stakeholders and resources.



The Tennessee Instructional Leadership Standards

Standard A:

Instructional Leadership for Continuous Improvement

Capacity Building
Data Analysis & Use
Interventions
Progress Monitoring

Standard C: Professional Learning and Growth

Evaluation
Differentiated Professional Learning
Induction, Support, Retention, &
Growth
Teacher Leaders
Self-Practice

Standard B:

Culture for Teaching and Learning

Environment
Family Involvement
Ownership
Recognition & Celebration


Standard D: Resource Management

Community Resources
Diversity
Employee & Fiscal Management



Evidence Collection for Standard A

Insert video 5 here





Standard A: Instructional Leadership for Continuous Improvement

—Joseph Murphy, Essential Lessons for School Leaders, 2011							
Indicator	5	3	1	Possible Sources of Evidence			
A1. Capacity Building Builds capacity of educators to provide all students a rigorous curriculum, aligned with Tennessee state standards	Utilizes shared leadership practices to build capacity of nearly all educators for: • Developing an accurate understanding of Tennessee-adopted standards and instructional practices • Studying, analyzing, and evaluating approved curriculum resources, including texts • Maintaining shared accountability when making needed adjustments to deepen classroom rigor • Maintaining a system for monitoring student work for rigor and curriculum alignment • Implementing on-going strategies and feedback for peers	Builds capacity among educators for: Developing an accurate understanding of Tennessee-adopted standards and instructional practices Demonstrating fidelity to state and district-approved curriculum standards Studying, analyzing, and evaluating approved curriculum resources, including texts Establishing a system for monitoring student work for rigor and curriculum alignment Establishing collective accountability when making needed adjustments to deepen classroom rigor	Builds limited or no capacity among educators for: Developing educator understanding of Tennessee-adopted standards and instructional practices Demonstrating fidelity to state and district-approved standards Studying, analyzing, and evaluating approved curriculum resources Establishing a system for monitoring student work for rigor Establishing collective accountability when making needed adjustments to deepen classroom rigor	Practice/Observation Lesson plans and feedback on the plans Agendas and meeting notes from Professional Learning Communities Course offerings (range of levels and types—Advanced Placement and Dual Enrollment offerings for high schools) Outcomes Demonstrated growth on observations Met or exceeded goals for: student achievement gap closure college/career readiness TVAAS			



Standard A: Instructional Leadership for Continuous Improvement

	—Joseph Murphy, Essential Lessons for School Leaders, 2011							
Indicator	5	3	1	Possible Sources of Evidence				
A1. Capacity Building Builds capacity of educators to provide all students a rigorous curriculum, aligned with Tennessee state standards	 Utilizes shared leadership practices to build capacity of nearly all educators for: Developing an accurate understanding of Tennessee-adopted standards and instructional practices Studying, analyzing, and evaluating approved curriculum resources, including texts Maintaining shared accountability when making needed adjustments to deepen classroom rigor Maintaining a system for monitoring student work for rigor and curriculum alignment Implementing on-going strategies and feedback for peers 	 Builds capacity among educators for: Developing an accurate understanding of Tennessee-adopted standards and instructional practices Demonstrating fidelity to state and district-approved curriculum standards Studying, analyzing, and evaluating approved curriculum resources, including texts Establishing a system for monitoring student work for rigor and curriculum alignment Establishing collective accountability when making needed adjustments to deepen classroom rigor 	 Builds limited or no capacity among educators for: Developing educator understanding of Tennessee-adopted standards and instructional practices Demonstrating fidelity to state and district-approved standards Studying, analyzing, and evaluating approved curriculum resources Establishing a system for monitoring student work for rigor Establishing collective accountability when making needed adjustments to deepen classroom rigor 	 Practice/Observation Lesson plans and feedback on the plans Agendas and meeting notes from Professional Learning Communities Course offerings (range of levels and types—Advanced Placement and Dual Enrollment offerings for high schools) Outcomes Demonstrated growth on observations Met or exceeded goals for: student achievement gap closure college/career readiness TVAAS 				



Standard A: Instructional Leadership for Continuous Improvement

—Joseph Murphy, Essential Lessons for School Leaders, 2011							
Indicator	5	3	1	Possible Sources of Evidence			
A1. Capacity Building Builds capacity of educators to provide all students a rigorous curriculum, aligned with Tennessee state standards	 Utilizes shared leadership practices to build capacity of nearly all educators for: Developing an accurate understanding of Tennessee-adopted standards and instructional practices Studying, analyzing, and evaluating approved curriculum resources, including texts Maintaining shared accountability when making needed adjustments to deepen classroom rigor Maintaining a system for monitoring student work for rigor and curriculum alignment Implementing on-going strategies and feedback for peers 	 Builds capacity among educators for: Developing an accurate understanding of Tennessee-adopted standards and instructional practices Demonstrating fidelity to state and district-approved curriculum standards Studying, analyzing, and evaluating approved curriculum resources, including texts Establishing a system for monitoring student work for rigor and curriculum alignment Establishing collective accountability when making needed adjustments to deepen classroom rigor 	 Builds limited or no educators for: Developing educator understanding of Tennessee-adopted standards and instructional practices Demonstrating fidelity to state and district-approved standards Studying, analyzing, and evaluating approved curriculum resources Establishing a system for monitoring student work for rigor Establishing collective accountability when making needed adjustments to deepen classroom rigor 	 Practice/Observation Lesson plans and feedback on the plans Agendas and meeting notes from Professional Learning Communities Course offerings (range of levels and types—Advanced Placement and Dual Enrollment offerings for high schools) Outcomes Demonstrated growth on observations Met or exceeded goals for: student achievement gap closure college/career readiness TVAAS 			



Standard A: Instructional Leadership for Continuous Improvement

arise. Great leaders learn to build trenches under parriers and find ladders to use to climb over them."							
	—Josep	oh Murphy, Essential Lessons for S	School Leaders, 2011				
Indicator	5	3	1	Possible Sources of Evidence			
A1. Capacity Building Builds capacity of educators to provide all students a rigorous curriculum, aligned with Tennessee state standards	 Utilizes shared leadership practices to build capacity of nearly all educators for: Developing an accurate understanding of Tennessee-adopted standards and instructional practices Studying, analyzing, and evaluating approved curriculum resources, including texts Maintaining shared accountability when making needed adjustments to deepen classroom rigor Maintaining a system for monitoring student work for rigor and curriculum alignment Implementing on-going strategies and feedback for peers 	 Builds capacity among educators for: Developing an accurate understanding of Tennessee-adopted standards and instructional practices Demonstrating fidelity to state and district-approved curriculum standards Studying, analyzing, and evaluating approved curriculum resources, including texts Establishing a system for monitoring student work for rigor and curriculum alignment Establishing collective accountability when making needed adjustments to deepen classroom rigor 	 Builds limited or no capacity among educators for: Developing educator understanding of Tennessee-adopted standards and instructional practices Demonstrating fidelity to state and district-approved standards Studying, analyzing, and evaluating approved curriculum resources Establishing a system for monitoring student work for rigor Establishing collective accountability when making needed adjustments to deepen classroom rigor 	 Practice/Observation Lesson plans and feedback on the plans Agendas and meeting notes from Professional Learning Communities Course offerings (range of levels and types—Advanced Placement and Dual Enrollment offerings for high schools) Outcomes Demonstrated growth on observations Met or exceeded goals for: student achievement gap closure college/career readiness TVAAS 			



Capacity Building: What to look for

3

Builds capacity among educators for:

- Developing an accurate understanding of Tennesseeadopted standards and instructional practices
- Demonstrating fidelity to state and district- approved curriculum standards
- Studying, analyzing, and evaluating approved curriculum resources, including texts
- Establishing a system for monitoring student work for rigor and curriculum alignment
- Establishing collective accountability when making needed adjustments to deepen classroom rigor

Through multiple sources of data, it is evident that:

- Teachers are improving in their implementation of state standards and the instructional practices that lead to student success in meeting those standards.
- Teachers are improving in the alignment of tasks they use to generate student work, as well as their ability to effectively analyze and use student work.
- Teachers are improving in their collaboration around rigor in their classrooms.



Capacity Building: Data Sources

What sources of data (quantitative and qualitative) indicate that teachers are:

- Improving in their implementation of state standards?
- Improving in their instructional practices?
- Improving in the alignment of classroom tasks with standards?
- Generating student work?
- Analyzing student work?
- Using their analysis of student work to improve?
- Collaborating with colleagues ensure classroom rigor?



School Summary Report


Demonstration Powered by Open Text Extreme 11/18/2021 Version 16/631/64-bit TCAP)


2021 Fall EOC SCHOOL SUMMARY REPORT ALGEBRA I



PRIVATE SCHOOL1 PVBE

% of Students at each Performance Level Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding.





	Lower	Similar	Higher
Structure a	nd Operatio	ns	
School			
	0	0	100
State	64	16	20
	04	10	20
Equations	and Inequali	ities	
School			
	0	0	100
State	54	20	26
	34	20	20
Functions	and Interpre	ting Data	
School			
	0	0	100
State	73	10	18
	73	10	10

			М	RIVA	ES	UHU	OLI	PV	DE
Su	bscore	e Per	forma	ince :	Sum	mary	y		
Average Perce	entage (of Poi	nts Ea	rned (Out of	Poir	nts P	ossi	ble
	0 10	20	30 4	0 50	60	70	80	90	100
Structure and	i Oper	ation	ıs						
School 100%									
State 41%									
			_		_	_		_	_
Equations an	1 1	ualit	ies						
Equations an School 100% State 49%		ualit	ies						
School 100%		qualit	iles						
School 100%				ata					
School 100% State 49%	d Inter			ata				1	
School 100% State 49% Functions an	d Inter			ata					



Standards Analysis Report

-*- Demonstration Powered by OpenText Exstream 11/18/2021, Version 16.6.31 64-bit -*-



Tennessee Comprehensive Assessment Program (TCAP)
2021 Fall EOC STANDARDS ANALYSIS SUMMARY REPORT



Number of Students Tested: 1

PRIVATE SCHOOL1 PVBE

Number of Items: 51

ALGEBRA I


PRIVATE SCHOOL1 PVBE

This Standards Analysis Report provides information on how the students performed on the standards assessed on the test for this content area:


- The Percent of Score Points Earned by School, District, or State provides information on the proportion of score points earned versus total points possible.
- Higher percentages mean that students demonstrated greater understanding of the standard as evidenced by the higher proportion of score points they collectively earned.


Standard	Maximum Number of Tested Items for Standard	Maximum Score Points Available for Standard	Score Points Possible for School	Score Points Earned by School	Percent of Score Points Earned by SCHOOL	Percent of Score Points Earned by DISTRICT	Percent of Score Points Earned by STATE
A1.A.CED.A.1	1	1	1	1	100%	N/A	71%
A1.A.CED.A.2	2	2	2	2	100%	N/A	42%
A1.A.CED.A.3	1	1	1	1	100%	N/A	14%
A1.A.CED.A.4	1	1	1	1	100%	N/A	46%
A1.A.REI.A.1	2	2	2	2	100%	N/A	54%
A1.A.REI.B.2	2	2	2	2	100%	N/A	73%
A1.A.REI.B.3a	1	1	1	1	100%	N/A	61%
A1.A.REI.B.3b	2	2	2	2	100%	N/A	45%
A1.A.REI.C.4	2	2	2	2	100%	N/A	63%
A1.A.REI.D.5	1	1	1	1	100%	N/A	9%
A1.A.REI.D.7	1	1	1	1	100%	N/A	31%
A1.F.BF.A.1a	2	2	2	2	100%	N/A	28%
A1.F.BF.A.1	1	1	1	1	100%	N/A	54%
A1.F.BF.B.2	2	2	2	2	100%	N/A	43%
A1.F.IF.A.1	1	1	1	1	100%	N/A	66%
A1.F.IF.A.2	1	1	1	1	100%	N/A	38%
A1.F.IF.B.3	1	1	1	1	100%	N/A	21%
A1.F.IF.C.6a	1	1	1	1	100%	N/A	44%
A1.F.IF.C.8	1	1	1	1	100%	N/A	53%
A1.F.LE.A.1a	1	1	1	1	100%	N/A	24%
A1.F.LE.A.2	1	1	1	1	100%	N/A	13%
A1.F.LE.B.4	2	2	2	2	100%	N/A	66%
A1.S.ID.A.1	1	1	1	1	100%	N/A	29%
A1.S.ID.B.4a	1	1	1	1	100%	N/A	18%
A1.S.ID.C.5	2	2	2	2	100%	N/A	43%
A1.S.ID.C.6	1	1	1	1	100%	N/A	11%
A1.S.ID.C.7	2	2	2	2	100%	N/A	48%






TNCompass








Tennessee Educator Survey







Practice: Performance Level Differentiation





Defining Performance Levels

Indicator	5 Significantly Above Expectations	3 Meets Expectations	1 Significantly Below Expectations	Possible Evidence Sources
A1. Capacity Building				
A2. Data Analysis & Use				
A3. Interventions				
A4. Progress Monitoring				



TEAM Rubric: A2. Data Analysis & Use

Indicator	5	3	1	Possible Sources of Evidence
A2. Data Analysis & Use Collaborates with educators to analyze and use multiple forms of data throughout the year to establish specific goals and strategies targeting student achievement and growth	Utilizes shared leadership practices and structures: Builds capacity among nearly all educators for analyzing and using multiple sources of student, educator, and school-wide data Develops and monitors a school-wide data plan that includes a) student progress tracking; b) establishing specific strategies to meet or exceed academic and behavioral growth and achievement goals; c) baseline comparisons to benchmarks throughout the year; and d) time for instructional adjustments informed by data Maintains shared accountability for instructional decisions targeting achievement and growth goals Establishes data-specific growth and achievement targets that result in gains	Collaborates with educators to: Use multiple sources of student, educator, and school-wide data Determine specific data to analyze when tracking student progress Establish specific strategies to meet or exceed academic and behavioral growth goals Identify a data baseline for comparing benchmarks throughout the year Communicate expectations for adjusting instruction in response to formative and summative assessment data Establish shared accountability for instructional decisions targeting student achievement and growth goals	 Shows limited or no use of: Multiple student, educator, and school-wide data Specific data when analyzing and tracking student progress Academic and behavioral growth goals Baseline data for comparing benchmarks throughout the year Expectations for adjusting instruction based on data Shared accountability for instructional decisions targeting student achievement and growth goals 	Practice/Observations Data tracking and training Intervention schedules and plan Work sample scores Benchmark assessments Use of rubrics Attendance rates Discipline referrals and reports Outcomes Formative and summative teacher-administered test data Data tracking Graduation rates ACT/SAT scores Advanced placement scores TVAAS



TEAM Rubric: A3. Interventions

A3. Interventions

Leads educators to develop and execute interventions to address all student learning needs grounded in multiple sources of data (academic, social, and/or emotional).

Utilizes shared leadership practices that demonstrate support for educators in:

- Using multiple sources of data to develop and implement differentiated interventions within and outside normal class structures
- Setting and meeting goals and targets for individual students and sub-groups
- · Developing intervention schedules
- Monitoring and adjusting interventions, as needed
- Establishing an organizational system whereby general and special educators jointly develop and deliver appropriate interventions
- Maintaining shared accountability for implementation, fidelity, and quality of intervention outcomes

- Leads educators to implement interventions based on annual goals
- Supports educators in:
 - utilizing multiple sources of data to develop and implement interventions within and outside normal class structures
 - aligning interventions and student achievement outcomes
 - monitoring and adjusting interventions, as needed
 - collaborating with general and special educators to develop and deliver appropriate interventions
- Develops shared accountability for implementation, fidelity, and quality of intervention outcomes

Shows limited or no use of interventions based on annual goals

- No observable alignment between interventions and student achievement outcomes
- Lack of support structures to:
 - develop and implement interventions based on annual goals
 - monitor and adjust interventions, as needed
- Inconsistent selection and use of interventions
- No process for general and special educators to jointly develop and deliver interventions
- Inadequate accountability for implementation, fidelity, and quality of intervention outcomes

Practice/Observations

- · Intervention schedules and plans
- Lesson plans (collaboratively created by general and special educators)
- At-risk list

Outcomes

- Formative assessment data/ benchmark data for TCAP
- Met or exceeded student growth and achievement
- TVAAS



TEAM Rubric: A4. Progress Monitoring

Indicator	5	3	1	Possible Sources of Evidence
A4. Progress Monitoring Systematically monitors and adjusts progress toward established goals and facilitates procedures and practices leading to continuous improvement.	Incorporates collaborative school-wide planning that addresses students' academic growth goals (and behavior growth goals as needed) Supports educators to lead monitoring and adjusting planned and implemented school, grade, and classroom level strategies Regularly leads processes for educators to assess and provide input on practices that present evidence of improvement	Incorporates planning that addresses students' academic growth goals (and behavior growth goals as needed) Collaborates with educators to monitor and adjust planned and implemented strategies that are goal-aligned Regularly facilitates procedures and practices that present evidence of improvement	Shows limited or no: Planning that addresses students' academic growth goals (and behavior growth goals as needed) Goal-aligned adjustments Implementation of procedures showing evidence of improvement	Practice/Observations Intervention schedules and plans Lesson plans (collaborative General Education and Special Education) At-risk list Conversations with stakeholders Review of goals and action plans Review of leader's data analysis SIP implementation data- gaps identified through data analysis and strategy developed to close them Leader self-reports Teacher and staff questionnaires District records Teacher and staff interviews and focus groups
				Outcomes Formative assessment data/ benchmark data for TCAP Met or exceeded student growth and achievement



Thank you for completing Module 3 of the TEAM Administrator Evaluator Training.

You may pause the training here, or you can continue to Module 4.

Permission is granted to use and copy these materials for non-commercial educational purposes with attribution credit to the "Tennessee Department of Education". If you wish to use these materials for reasons other than non-commercial educational purposes, please contact the Office of General Counsel at (615) 741-2921 or Joanna Collins (Joanna.Collins@tn.gov).

